

The limit as $p \rightarrow \infty$ of the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of $\sum x_i^{d_i}$

Ira M. Gessel and Paul Monsky

Brandeis University, Waltham MA 02454-9110, USA
gessel@brandeis.edu and monsky@brandeis.edu

Abstract

Let p be a prime. The Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity, μ , of the element $\sum x_i^{d_i}$ of $(\mathbb{Z}/p)[x_1, \dots, x_s]$ depends on p in a complicated way. We calculate the limit of μ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. In particular when each d_i is 2 we show that the limit is $1 +$ the coefficient of z^{s-1} in the power series expansion of $\sec z + \tan z$.

1 Introduction

Suppose $s \geq 2$, d_1, \dots, d_s are positive integers, and h is the element $\sum x_i^{d_i}$ of $A = (\mathbb{Z}/p)[x_1, \dots, x_s]$. Let $e_n(h)$ be the colength of the ideal generated by h and the x_i^q where $q = p^n$. For fixed p , Hilbert-Kunz theory tells us that $e_n = \mu q^{s-1} + O(q^{s-2})$ for some $\mu > 0$; μ is the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of h . When $s = 2$, $\mu = \min(d_1, d_2)$ and so is independent of p , but the dependence on p is subtle when $s \geq 3$.

In her thesis, Han calculated μ (and in fact all of the e_n) when $s = 3$. This result was extended to $s > 3$ in [3]. The second author realized afterwards that a result from [3] gives an easy proof that $\mu \rightarrow$ a limit as $p \rightarrow \infty$, and a formula for the limit. The formula has been discovered by others since, but perhaps because their arguments were more complicated, they haven't presented them for publication.

Much of the interest of the above result lies in an elegant expression for the limit when each d_i is 2; the limit is $1 +$ the coefficient of z in the power series expansion of $\sec z + \tan z$. This was conjectured by the second author, and the first used Eulerian polynomials to provide a proof. At the request of several colleagues we're here writing down our old proofs. The limits of Hilbert-Kunz multiplicities have been studied in other situations; see Trivedi [4].

We begin with some easy results. When any d_i is 1, $e_n = q^{s-1}$ irrespective of p , so the limit of μ is 1. Assume from now on that each $d_i > 1$. The following function was studied in [3].

Definition 1.1 $D_p(k_1, \dots, k_s) = \text{length } A/(\sum x_i, x_1^{k_1}, \dots, x_s^{k_s})$.

Evidently, $D_p(k_1, \dots, k_s)$ is the length of

$$(\mathbb{Z}/p)[x_2, \dots, x_s] / ((x_2 + \dots + x_s)^{k_1}, x_2^{k_2}, \dots, x_s^{k_s}).$$

Since $(\mathbb{Z}/p)[x_2, \dots, x_s]$ is a free module of rank p^{s-1} over $(\mathbb{Z}/p)[x_2^p, \dots, x_s^p]$, it follows that $D_p(pk_1, \dots, pk_s) = p^{s-1}D_p(k_1, \dots, k_s)$.

Lemma 1.2 *Suppose $d_i u_i \leq p \leq d_i v_i$. Then for $n > 0$, each of the $e_n(h)/q^{s-1}$ lies between $dp^{1-s}D_p(u_1, \dots, u_s)$ and $dp^{1-s}D_p(v_1, \dots, v_s)$, where d is the product of the d_i .*

Proof For $n > 0$, e_n is bounded below by the colength of the ideal generated by h and the $x_i^{\frac{qd_i u_i}{p}}$. Since A is free of rank d over $(\mathbb{Z}/p)[x_1^{d_1}, \dots, x_s^{d_s}]$ this colength is $dD_p(\frac{qu_1}{p}, \dots, \frac{qu_s}{p}) = (\frac{q}{p})^{s-1}dD_p(u_1, \dots, u_s)$. Dividing by q^{s-1} , we get the lower bound, and the upper bound is derived similarly. \square

Now the A -module $(\sum x_i, x_1^{k_1}, \dots, x_s^{k_s}) / (\sum x_i, x_1^{k_1+1}, x_2^{k_2}, \dots, x_s^{k_s})$ is annihilated by x_1 , and so may be viewed as a $(\mathbb{Z}/p)[x_2, \dots, x_s]$ -module. As such, it is cyclic, generated by $x_1^{k_1}$ and annihilated by $x_2 + \dots + x_s$ and by each of $x_2^{k_2}, \dots, x_s^{k_s}$. So its length is at most $D_p(k_2, \dots, k_s)$.

Lemma 1.3 *If each of u_1, \dots, u_s is $< p$ then $D_p(u_1 + 1, u_2 + 1, \dots, u_s + 1) - D_p(u_1, \dots, u_s) \leq sp^{s-2}$.*

Proof The argument preceding the lemma shows that $D_p(u_1 + 1, u_2, \dots, u_s) - D_p(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_s) \leq D_p(u_2, \dots, u_s)$. Since each u_i is $< p$, this is $\leq p^{s-2}$. Combining this with $s - 1$ similar inequalities we get the result. \square

Theorem 1.4 $\frac{e_1(h)}{p^{s-1}}$ and μ differ by at most $\frac{ds}{p}$. Consequently, $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty}(\mu) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty}(\frac{e_1(h)}{p^{s-1}})$, provided the latter limit exists.

Proof Set $u_i = \lfloor \frac{p}{d_i} \rfloor$. Since $d_i > 1$, each $u_i < p$. If we let v_i be $u_i + 1$, then Lemmas 1.2 and 1.3 show that all the $e_n(h)/q^{s-1}$, $n > 0$, lie in an interval of length $\leq (sp^{s-2})(dp^{1-s}) = \frac{ds}{p}$. But μ is in the closure of this interval. \square

Theorem 1.5 *Suppose for each p we are given integers $a_1, \dots, a_s \geq 0$ with $a_i = \frac{p}{d_i} + O(1)$. Then there is a k such that for all p the difference between μ and $dp^{1-s}D_p(a_1, \dots, a_s)$ is at most $\frac{k}{p}$.*

Proof Fix N large and let $u_i = a_i - N$, $v_i = a_i + N$. Then $d_i u_i \leq p \leq d_i v_i$. And when p is large, $u_i \geq 0$ and $v_i \leq p$. Now $dp^{1-s} D_p(a_1, \dots, a_s)$ and each e_n/q^{s-1} , $n > 0$, lie between $dp^{1-s} D_p(u_1, \dots, u_s)$ and $dp^{1-s} D_p(v_1, \dots, v_s)$. The argument of Theorem 1.4 shows they lie in an interval of length $\leq 2N \left(\frac{ds}{p}\right)$. The closure of this interval contains μ . \square

When a_1, \dots, a_s are $\leq p$, Theorem 2.20 of [3] gives the following formula for $D_p(a_1, \dots, a_s)$. Let γ be $\lfloor \frac{1}{2} \sum (a_i - 1) \rfloor$. Then $D_p(a_1, \dots, a_s)$ is the sum as λ runs over \mathbb{Z} of the coefficients of the $t^{\gamma+\lambda p}$ in the polynomial $\Pi \left(\frac{1-t^{a_i}}{1-t} \right)$. In the next section we'll combine this result with Theorem 1.5 to calculate the limit of μ as $p \rightarrow \infty$.

2 The limit formula

Definition 2.1 For λ in \mathbb{Z} , $C_\lambda = \sum (\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_s) \left(\frac{\epsilon_1}{d_1} + \cdots + \frac{\epsilon_s}{d_s} - 2\lambda \right)^{s-1}$, where the sum extends over the s -tuples $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_s$ with each ϵ_i in $\{-1, 1\}$ and $\frac{\epsilon_1}{d_1} + \cdots + \frac{\epsilon_s}{d_s} > 2\lambda$.

For each p choose integers $a_1, \dots, a_s \geq 0$ so that $\sum a_i \equiv s \pmod{2}$, and $a_i = \frac{p}{d_i} + O(1)$. Suppose $\epsilon_1, \dots, \epsilon_s$ are in $\{-1, 1\}$ and λ is in \mathbb{Z} . Let a in \mathbb{Q} be $\frac{\epsilon_1}{d_1} + \cdots + \frac{\epsilon_s}{d_s} - 2\lambda$; this is independent of p . Let α be $\left(\frac{1}{2} \sum (\epsilon_i a_i - 1) \right) - p\lambda$. Since $\sum a_i \equiv s \pmod{2}$, α is in \mathbb{Z} . Evidently $\alpha = \frac{pa}{2} + O(1)$.

We fix $\epsilon_1 \cdots \epsilon_s$ and λ , and study how the coefficient of t^α in $(1-t)^{-s}$ depends on p . When $a < 0$, $\alpha < 0$ for large p and the coefficient is 0. When $a = 0$, α is $O(1)$ and the coefficient is $O(1)$; since $s \geq 2$ it is $O(p^{s-2})$. Now suppose $a > 0$. Then for large p , $\alpha > 0$ and the coefficient is $\binom{\alpha+s-1}{s-1}$. Since $\alpha + s - 1$ is $\frac{pa}{2} + O(1)$, we get $\frac{1}{(s-1)!} \left(\frac{pa}{2} \right)^{s-1} + O(p^{s-2}) = \frac{2^{1-s}}{(s-1)!} a^{s-1} p^{s-1} + O(p^{s-2})$.

Lemma 2.2 Let $\gamma = \frac{1}{2} \sum (a_i - 1)$. Then the coefficient of t^γ in $\Pi \left(\frac{1-t^{a_i}}{1-t} \right)$ is $\frac{2^{1-s}}{(s-1)!} C_0 p^{s-1} + O(p^{s-2})$ with C_0 as in Definition 2.1.

Proof $\Pi \left(\frac{1-t^{a_i}}{1-t} \right) = (1-t)^{-s} \Pi(1-t^{a_i})$. Multiplying the second product out we express our coefficient in terms of coefficients of $(1-t)^{-s}$. Explicitly it is (the coefficient of t^γ in $(1-t)^{-s}$) - (the sum of the coefficients of the $t^{\gamma-a_i}$) + (the sum of the coefficients of the $t^{\gamma-a_i-a_j}$) - \dots . The paragraph before the lemma, with $\lambda = 0$, tells us the behavior of each term as $p \rightarrow \infty$ and gives the result. \square

More generally:

Lemma 2.3 The coefficient of $t^{\gamma-\lambda p}$ in $\Pi \left(\frac{1-t^{a_i}}{1-t} \right)$ is $\frac{2^{1-s}}{(s-1)!} C_\lambda p^{s-1} + O(p^{s-2})$.

Furthermore $C_\lambda = C_{-\lambda}$.

Proof The argument of Lemma 2.2 gives the first result. Since the coefficients of $t^{\gamma+N}$ and $t^{\gamma-N}$ in $\Pi\left(\frac{1-t^{a_i}}{1-t}\right)$ are equal, the second result follows. \square

Note that when $\lambda \geq \frac{s}{4}$, $\frac{c_1}{d_1} + \dots + \frac{c_s}{d_s} \leq \frac{s}{2} \leq 2\lambda$ and so $C_\lambda = 0$. By Lemma 2.3, $C_\lambda = 0$ when $|\lambda| \geq \frac{s}{4}$.

Theorem 2.4 As $p \rightarrow \infty$, $\mu \rightarrow \frac{d(2^{1-s})}{(s-1)!} (\sum C_\lambda) = \frac{d(2^{1-s})}{(s-1)!} (C_0 + 2 \sum_{\lambda>0} C_\lambda)$.

Proof Since $C_\lambda = C_{-\lambda}$, the sums are equal. By Theorem 1.5 it suffices to show that $p^{1-s} D_p(a_1, \dots, a_s) \rightarrow \frac{2^{1-s}}{(s-1)!} \sum C_\lambda$ as $p \rightarrow \infty$. But this follows immediately from Lemmas 2.2, 2.3 and the result from [3] quoted at the end of the Introduction. \square

Example 2.5 Suppose $s = 4$ and each d_i is 4. Then $C_0 = \left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4}\right)^3 - 4\left(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} - \frac{1}{4}\right)^3 = \frac{1}{2}$, while $C_\lambda = 0$ for $\lambda \neq 0$. So $\mu \rightarrow \frac{256}{8 \cdot 6} \cdot \frac{1}{2} = \frac{8}{3}$. In fact, $\mu = \frac{8}{3} \left(\frac{2p^2+2p+3}{2p^2+2p+1}\right)$ if $p \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ and $\frac{8}{3} \left(\frac{2p^2-2p+3}{2p^2-2p+1}\right)$ if $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$.

From now on we assume each d_i is 2.

Definition 2.6 If a is an integer, $f_s(a) = a^{s-1} - \binom{s}{1}(a-2)^{s-1} + \binom{s}{2}(a-4)^{s-1} - \dots$, where we make the convention that $c^{s-1} = 0$ when $c < 0$.

Theorem 2.7 As $p \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\mu \rightarrow \frac{1}{(s-1)!} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{s-2}} (f_s(s) + 2f_s(s-4) + 2f_s(s-8) + 2f_s(s-12) + \dots).$$

This may also be written as $\frac{1}{(s-1)!} \cdot \frac{1}{2^{s-2}} \cdot \sum f_s(a)$, the sum extending over all $a \equiv s \pmod{4}$.

Proof $C_0 = \left(\frac{s}{2}\right)^{s-1} - \binom{s}{1} \left(\frac{s-2}{2}\right)^{s-1} + \binom{s}{2} \left(\frac{s-4}{2}\right)^{s-1} - \dots = \frac{1}{2^{s-1}} \cdot f_s(s)$; similarly $C_\lambda = \frac{1}{2^{s-1}} \cdot f_s(s-4\lambda)$. Now apply Theorem 2.4, noting that $\frac{d \cdot 2^{1-s}}{(s-1)!} = \frac{2}{(s-1)!}$. \square

Example 2.8 Suppose $s = 5$. $f_5(5) = 5^4 - 5 \cdot 3^4 + 10 \cdot 1^4 = 230$, while $f_5(1) = 1^4 = 1$. So by Theorem 2.7, $\mu \rightarrow \frac{1}{24} \cdot \frac{1}{8}(232) = \frac{29}{24}$. In fact, if $p > 2$, $\mu = \frac{29p^2+15}{24p^2+12}$.

Proceeding as in Example 2.8, the second author calculated the limit of μ for each $s \leq 10$, finding that in each case the limit was 1 + the coefficient of z^{s-1} in the power series expansion of $\sec z + \tan z$. In the next section we'll use Eulerian polynomials to show that this holds for all s ; this insight is due to the first author.

3 The case $h = \sum x_i^2$

Definition 3.1 For $n \geq 0$, $A_n = (1 - T)^{n+1}(1 + 2^n T + 3^n T^2 + \dots)$.

For example, $A_4 = 1 + 11T + 11T^2 + T^3$.

Lemma 3.2 A_n is a polynomial, and $A_n(1) = n!$.

Proof Let Δ be the operator $f \rightarrow f(T + 1) - f(T)$ on $\mathbb{Z}[T]$. The n -fold iterate of Δ evidently takes T^n to the constant $n!$. It follows that $(1 - T)^n(1 + 2^n T + 3^n T^2 + \dots) = (\text{a polynomial in } T) + \frac{n!}{(1 - T)}$. Multiplying by $1 - T$ and evaluating at $T = 1$ we get the result. \square

Euler [1], [2] evaluated these Eulerian polynomials at -1 . The values at i are less familiar but we'll show how to derive them by an easy method. As divergent series are out of fashion, we'll proceed formally. Let \mathcal{O} be the complete local ring $\mathbb{C}[[T, z]]$. If u is in the maximal ideal of \mathcal{O} , e^u will denote the element $\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{u^n}{n!}$ of \mathcal{O} .

Lemma 3.3 In \mathcal{O} , $\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{A_n(T)}{(1 - T)^n} \frac{z^n}{n!}\right) (1 - Te^z) = e^z - 1$.

Proof $\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{A_n(T)}{(1 - T)^{n+1}} \frac{z^n}{n!} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{z^n}{n!} (1^n + 2^n T + 3^n T^2 + \dots) = e^z + Te^{2z} + T^2 e^{3z} + \dots$. Multiplying by $(1 - Te^z)(1 - T)$ we find that $\left(\sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{A_n(T)}{(1 - T)^n} \frac{z^n}{n!}\right) (1 - Te^z) = e^z(1 - T)$. Subtracting off the $n = 0$ term we get $e^z(1 - T) - (1 - Te^z) = e^z - 1$. \square

Lemma 3.4 In $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$, $\sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{A_n(i)}{(1 + i)^n} \cdot \frac{z^n}{n!} = \frac{1 - e^{iz}}{e^{iz} - 1}$.

Proof There is a continuous ring automorphism of \mathcal{O} taking T to T and z to $z(1 - T)$. Applying this to Lemma 3.3 we find that $\left(\sum_{n \geq 1} A_n(T) \frac{z^n}{n!}\right) (1 - Te^{z(1 - T)}) = e^{z(1 - T)} - 1$. Now this is an identity in $\mathbb{C}[T][[z]]$. Applying the continuous ring homomorphism $\mathbb{C}[T][[z]] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[[z]]$ that takes T to i and z to $\frac{z}{1 + i}$ we get the result. \square

Theorem 3.5 If $s \geq 2$, $\frac{A_{s-1}(i)}{(1 + i)^{s-2}} \cdot \frac{1}{(s-1)!}$ is the coefficient of z^{s-1} in the power series expansion of $\sec z + \tan z$.

Proof Multiplying both sides of Lemma 3.4 by $1 + i$ and adding 1 we find that in $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$, $1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{A_n(i)}{(1 + i)^{n-1}} \frac{z^n}{n!} = \frac{1 - e^{iz}}{e^{iz} - 1}$. If by $\sin z$, $\cos z$, $\sec z$, $\tan z$ we mean the Taylor series expansions of these functions, then $\frac{1 - e^{iz}}{e^{iz} - 1} = \frac{(1 + \sin z) - i \cos z}{\cos z - i(1 - \sin z)}$.

Since $\frac{1 + \sin z}{\cos z}$ and $\frac{\cos z}{1 - \sin z}$ are each $\sec z + \tan z$, $1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{A_n(i)}{(1 + i)^{n-1}} \frac{z^n}{n!} = \sec z + \tan z$ in $\mathbb{C}[[z]]$, and we compare the coefficients of z^{s-1} . \square

Lemma 3.6 $\sum_a f_s(a)T^{a-1} = (1+T)^s A_{s-1}$, where $f_s(a)$ is as in Definition 2.6.

Proof $f_s(a) = a^{s-1} - \binom{s}{1}(a-2)^{s-1} + \binom{s}{2}(a-4)^{s-1} - \dots$. So $\sum f_s(a)T^{a-1} = (1^{s-1} + 2^{s-1}T + 3^{s-1}T^2 + \dots) - \binom{s}{1}(1^{s-1}T^2 + 2^{s-1}T^3 + 3^{s-1}T^4 + \dots) + \binom{s}{2}(1^{s-1}T^4 + 2^{s-1}T^5 + 3^{s-1}T^6 + \dots) - \dots = (1^{s-1} + 2^{s-1}T + 3^{s-1}T^2 + \dots)(1-T^2)^s = (1+T)^s A_{s-1}$. \square

Theorem 3.7 Let $c = \frac{A_{s-1}(i)}{(1+i)^{s-2}}$. Then $\sum f_s(a)$, the sum extending over all $a \equiv s \pmod{4}$, is $2^{s-2} \left(A_{s-1}(1) + \frac{c}{2} + \frac{\bar{c}}{2} \right)$.

Proof Let $P = \sum_j f_s(j-3s)T^j$. Since 4 divides j if and only if $j-3s \equiv s \pmod{4}$, our sum is $\frac{1}{4}(P(1) + P(-1) + P(i) + P(-i))$. Now $P = \sum f_s(j)T^{j+3s}$ which is $T^{3s+1}(1+T)^s A_{s-1}$ by Lemma 3.6. Thus $\frac{1}{4}P(1) = 2^{s-2}A_{s-1}(1)$ and $\frac{1}{4}P(-1) = 0$. Furthermore, $P(i) = (-i)^{s-1}(1+i)^s A_{s-1}(i)$. Since $(-i)^{s-1}(1+i)^s(1+i)^{s-2} = (-i)^{s-1}(2i)^{s-1} = 2^{s-1}$, $\frac{1}{4}P(i) = \frac{1}{4} \cdot 2^{s-1} \cdot \frac{A_{s-1}(i)}{(1+i)^{s-2}} = 2^{s-2} \cdot \left(\frac{c}{2} \right)$. Conjugating we find that $\frac{1}{4}P(-i) = 2^{s-2} \cdot \left(\frac{\bar{c}}{2} \right)$. \square

Theorem 3.8 Suppose $h = \sum_i^s x_i^2$. Then as $p \rightarrow \infty$, the Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity of $h \rightarrow 1 +$ the coefficient of z^{s-1} in $\sec z + \tan z$.

Proof Theorems 2.7 and 3.7 show that $\mu \rightarrow \frac{1}{(s-1)!} \left(A_{s-1}(1) + \frac{c}{2} + \frac{\bar{c}}{2} \right)$. But $A_{s-1}(1) = (s-1)!$. And Theorem 3.5 shows that $\frac{c}{(s-1)!}$ and $\frac{\bar{c}}{(s-1)!}$ are each the coefficient of z^{s-1} in $\sec z + \tan z$. \square

References

- [1] L. Euler, Remarques sur un beau rapport entre les séries des puissances tant directes que réciproques, Académie des sciences de Berlin, Lu en 1749, Opera Omnia Serie I, Bd. 15, 70–90.
- [2] L. Euler, Institutiones calculi differentialis..., volume 2, chapter 7.
- [3] C. Han, P. Monsky, Some surprising Hilbert-Kunz functions, Math. Z. 214 (1993), 119–135.
- [4] V. Trivedi, Hilbert-Kunz multiplicity and reduction mod p , Nagoya Math. J. 185 (2007), 123–141.